

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,924	11/21/2003	Marc M. Baum	1142-P0001	3349
36067 7590 04/11/2007 DALINA LAW GROUP, P.C. 7910 IVANHOE AVE. #325			EXAMINER	
			KURTZ, BENJAMIN M	
LA JOLLA, CA 92037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	
		•	•	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•		h			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/719,924	BAUM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Benjamin Kurtz	1723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ap	<u>pril 2007</u> .				
,	-				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	-x paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D	1. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 21 and 54-60 is/are pending in the ap	pplication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 21 and 54-60 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
o) are easyest to receive and are	4				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		The state of the Commission			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2003 is/a					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) ☐ Interview : Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/719,924 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 21, 54 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Putz et al. US 5 980 736.

Regarding claim 1, Putz teaches a wet and dry weather disinfection system comprising: a disinfecting chemical dispenser located proximate to a storm water management infrastructure, where the disinfection chemical dispenser is configured to add a disinfection chemical into water, a sensor (20, 21) configured to measure water characteristics of the water, a control unit that controls an amount of said disinfection chemical added to the water based on the characteristics, wherein the water disinfection system is locatable in-line at a storm drain collection location (fig. 1, col. 3, lines 19-32, col. 4, lines 14-25 and 55-60).

Regarding claims 54 and 56, Putz further teaches said sensor is located upstream of said disinfection chemical dispenser (fig. 1); and said storm drain collection location permits water to flow through (col. 3, lines 19-32).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/719,924

Art Unit: 1723

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 57, 58 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Putz '736.

Regarding claim 57, Putz teaches a water disinfection system comprising: a disinfection chemical dispenser configured to add a disinfection chemical into water, a sensor (20, 21) configured to measure water characteristics, and a control unit configured to control an amount of said disinfection chemical added to the water based on the water characteristics (fig. 1, col. 3, lines 19-32, col. 4, lines 14-25 and 55-60). The language 'adapted to be removably located or connected' does not add any further structural limitation to the claim. Putz does not teach the system being portable. The fact that a claimed device is portable or movable is not sufficient by itself to patentably distinguish over an otherwise old device unless there are new or unexpected results *In re Lindberg*, 93 USPQ 23 (1952).

Regarding claim 58, Putz further teaches said sensor is located inline of said disinfecting chemical dispenser (fig. 1).

Regarding claim 60, Putz teaches a method for upstream water disinfection, comprising the steps of: placing a disinfection chemical dispenser adjacent to a storm drain collection location, said dispenser configured to add a disinfection chemical into water flowing through said storm drain collection location, measuring water characteristics attributable to said water flowing through said storm drain collection location through one or more sensors (20, 21), determining an amount of disinfection

Application/Control Number: 10/719,924

Art Unit: 1723

chemical to add to said water flowing through said storm drain collection location based on said water characteristics by a controller connected to said disinfecting chemical dispenser, and adding said amount of disinfection chemical from said chemical dispenser to said water flowing through said storm drain (fig. 1, col. 3, lines 19-32, col. 4, lines 14-25 and 55-60). Putz does not teach the chemical dispenser being portable. The fact that a claimed device is portable or movable is not sufficient by itself to patentably distinguish over an otherwise old device unless there are new or unexpected results *In re Lindberg*, 93 USPQ 23 (1952).

3. Claims 55 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Putz '736 in view of O-Leary et al. US 4 659 459.

Regarding claim 55, Putz teaches the system of claim 21 but does not teach a downstream sensor. O'Leary teaches a water disinfection system having a disinfection chemical dispenser with a control unit comprising a downstream sensor (118) from the disinfection chemical dispenser that measures water characteristics (fig. 1, col. 8, lines 15-20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a downstream sensor as taught by O'Leary because the downstream sensor relay information to the control unit to better regulate the amount of chemical added to the system (col. 2, lines 45-61).

Regarding claim 59, Putz teaches the system of claim 57 but does not teach a mixing chamber sensor. O'Leary teaches a water disinfection system having a disinfection chemical dispenser with a control unit comprising a mixing chamber sensor (118) that measures water characteristics (fig. 1, col. 8, lines 15-20). It would have

Application/Control Number: 10/719,924

Art Unit: 1723

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a downstream sensor as taught by O'Leary because the downstream sensor relay information to the control unit to better regulate the amount of chemical added to the system (col. 2, lines 45-61).

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's argument with respect to the claim of domestic priority has been considered and the previous objection is withdrawn.
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin Kurtz whose telephone number is 571-272-8211. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,924 Page 6

Art Unit: 1723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Benjamin Kurtz Patent Examiner 1723 4/10/07

Krishnan Nenn Krishnan Nenn Prinang Examiner